UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,696	12/09/2003	Stratton C. Lloyd	O1C0115US	3270
	7590 03/16/200 TEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
	RY OAKS TERRACE	NGUYEN, THUY-VI THI		
BLDG. H, SUIT AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/731,696	LLOYD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
THUY VI NGUYEN	3689		

		THUY VINGUYEN	3689	
The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06</u>	March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
application, appl application in co for Continued Ex periods:	ed after a final rejection, but prior to or on icant must timely file one of the following r ndition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe camination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C reply expiresmonths from the mailing	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The period for no event, how Examiner Not	r reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advever, will the statutory period for reply expire late: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ITHE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
have been filed is the dat under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is set forth in (b) above, if c	the obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the for purposes of determining the period of extra calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign hecked. Any reply received by the Office later patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of Appeal	opeal was filed on A brief in compl of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten I has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		the standards of the second second		
(a)⊠ They raise (b)□ They raise	amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be new issues that would require further con the issue of new matter (see NOTE below not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
	nd/or ent additional claims without canceling a c (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
	ts are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
	y has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / imonamone (i	102 02-17.
	d or amended claim(s) would be alle		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
how the new or a The status of the Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objecte Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdra	d to: d: <u>1-38</u> . wn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHE		before on an the date of filling a Nic		h
because applica	other evidence filed after a final action, but nt failed to provide a showing of good and resented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
entered because showing a good	other evidence filed after the date of filing as the affidavit or other evidence failed to over and sufficient reasons why it is necessary other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
	ONSIDERATION/OTHER	TOT THE Status OF THE Claims after er	illy is below of allacin	eu.
	reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attach 13. Other:	ed Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Tan Dean D. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3689	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 16, 32 and 38 which are required a further search. The Examiner will need to reconsider the prior art in view of the new amended limitations and /or perform new search. Furthermore, the Examnier will check for any new matter issue.